



February 7th, 2022

Via Email Only

Dr Sandy Rogers
Executive Director of
Communicare 152 MS-7
Oxford, MS 38655

CC: Chancellor Lawrence Little
Presiding Judge over Involuntary Commitment
Lafayette County Chancery Court

RE: Fraudulent Involuntary Judicial Commitment Stemming from False Information

URGENT NOTICE PROVIDED. PLEASE TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION

Dear Dr Rogers,

Between the date of December 6, 2021 and December 7, 2021 an employee of Communicare by the name of Rachel Alcorn came in receipt of inaccurate, untrue, and defamatory information given to her by Lafayette County Sheriff's Department which she acknowledged had been sourced by the Lafayette County Sheriff, Joey East himself. This in turn set off a series of events to follow, which in turn brought about irreparable injury while hindering the due course of justice and as a result broadened the scope of all which currently transpires. In the interest of transparency into all of this, I'd like to make it known that this entire fiasco was created based upon the fact that for the second time in approximately one year's time, I had discovered an important record that was fraudulently altered in Lafayette County Circuit Court. Finding out how this record could have changed and who could be responsible for changing it was and still is two very important questions to me as the same thing has happened now on both currently open filed matters of mine in Lafayette County Circuit Court. To make matters even worse, I have Identified numerous pieces of inaccurate information reported by another employee of Communicare by the name of Stacey Waites whom conducted the intake at the Lafayette County Detention Center on December 8th, 2021. Then there is the absolutely bizarre notation and reasoning by the Doctor and Nurse Practitioner via remote video conference which when combined with the facts and all other erroneous and obnoxious matters at hand lead me to believing that everything that transpired was the result of heavily politicized persecution for me having the drive and focus that I have on all current ongoing legal matters I am involved in. Particularly when this Doctor notated on his report that I was "very preoccupied with ongoing legal cases" and described my condition as

SCANNED

Bipolar with “delusions” in his report recommending in-patient commitment. To fault me for being pre-occupied with ongoing legal cases is absolutely ludicrous when factoring in that I am having to perform the work of an attorney due to not being able to afford the monumental costs an attorney would charge to represent me in two supreme court appeals, two circuit court matters, a chancery court matter, a recently wrapped up Justice Court matter, and at a bare minimum now one pending District Court Matter. Being “pre-occupied with ongoing legal cases” should be fully expected of anyone currently engaged in multiple legal battles in multiple venues such as I am, particularly when that individual happens to be representing himself in seeking full vindication from a complete miscarriage of justice that transpired in 2017 which continues to be exacerbated through continued lies tendered by Lafayette County Officials and in particular Sheriff East whom in fact played a hand in all that has occurred dating back to May of 2017. Contrary to the opinion of this Doctor and your staff whom never fact checked anything, the claims I have made and produced a plethora of evidence supporting are not "Conspiracy Theories" or "Delusions", nor have I ever "Provoked anyone with my minor child" as the affidavit and reports following attested to. These are insinuations and character assassinations that Lafayette County and in particular its sheriff wanted to have conveyed which at least temporarily it was successful in doing through full exploitation of the State of Mississippi's mental health laws and through the assistance of your employees and contractors working on behalf of your organization at the expense of my rights and liberties. The negligent acts of your employees have sought to demonize lawful, authorized, and constitutionally protected activity through the pushing of a narrative that was distasteful and untrue.

I am an independent credentialed member of the press whom has taken on a role of investigating and reporting the corrupt acts demonstrated by this county while fully documenting my journey in seeking full vindication and my credentials have been on file with the County and Sheriffs Department, so this was no new occurrence or surprise. For your convenience I am attaching a copy to this letter so that they again are on file in one more place in this county. The most unfortunate consequence, however, is that employees of Communicare through not properly following policy and procedure as it relates to state and federal law have now directly aided and provided assistance to certain Lafayette County Officials and in particular Sheriff East in their attempt to “cover up” and abscond from their wrongful deeds. As a result of such I have lost all trust in your organization and its staff, and honestly who could blame me?

The State of Mississippi's Mental Health laws are outdated and I firmly believe that what has transpired here demonstrates the level of ease for the State and County Governments to exploit their own system, and through doing so have done a pristine job of exposing its major flaws. The process

for initiating Civil Commitment proceedings is detailed out in MS Code 41-21-65. In that it states:

If any person is alleged to be in need of treatment, any relative of the person, or any interested person, may make affidavit of that fact and shall file the Uniform Civil Commitment Affidavit with the clerk of the chancery court of the county in which the person alleged to be in need of treatment resides, but the chancellor or duly appointed special master may, in his or her discretion, hear the matter in the county in which the person may be found. The affidavit shall set forth the name and address of the proposed patient's nearest relatives and whether the proposed patient resides or has visitation rights with any minor children, if known, and the reasons for the affidavit. The affidavit must contain factual descriptions of the proposed patient's recent behavior, including a description of the behavior, where it occurred, and over what period of time it occurred, if known. Each factual allegation may be supported by observations of witnesses named in the affidavit.

The requirements in this simply were not met, nor were they ever justified. Not only was the Affidavit not supported by any named witnesses, but the entire procedure was botched by the affiant attesting under sworn affidavit to non-factual claims along with claims that were in fact constitutionally protected and ordained particularly for an independent credentialed member of the press in the performance of his duties, which the Lafayette County through its officials nor employees of Communicare had any such right to interfere with and hinder. Further, the affidavit and reports to follow were comprised of judgmental and conclusory statements that were untrue in nature and relied primarily upon information stemming from an outside party whom was never named as required under the above statute. Fortunately, in one measure of good faith, there is a listed imposition of penalties against false affidavits being filed in bad faith for a malicious purpose in that:

The prohibition against charging the affiant other fees, expenses, or costs shall not preclude the imposition of monetary criminal penalties under Section 41-21-107 or any other criminal statute, or the imposition by the chancellor of monetary penalties for contempt if the affiant is found to have filed an intentionally false affidavit or filed the affidavit in bad faith for a malicious purpose.

A State Law cannot be held constitutional if it directly infringes on established law on a Federal Level to include if that particular law/legislation deprives a citizen of any constitutional right or any guaranteed Due Process Right – to include Substantive in that a citizen is subjected to the undue deprivation of life, liberty, or property. A finding of "mental illness" alone cannot justify a State's locking a person up against his will and keeping him indefinitely in simple custodial confinement.

Assuming that that term can be given a reasonably precise content and that the "mentally ill" can be identified with reasonable accuracy, there is still no constitutional basis for confining such persons involuntarily if they are dangerous to no one and can live safely in freedom. The matter at hand was decided upon in Davidson vs O'Connor, a Landmark US Supreme Court case, which Stated in short, a State cannot constitutionally confine without more a non-dangerous individual who is capable of surviving safely in freedom by himself or with the help of willing and responsible family members or friends. The question was then posed, may the State fence in the harmless mentally ill solely to save its citizens from exposure to those whose ways are different? One might as well ask if the State, to avoid public unease, could incarcerate all who are physically unattractive or socially eccentric. Mere public intolerance or animosity cannot constitutionally justify the deprivation of a person's physical liberty. See, e. g., Cohen v. California, 403 U.S. 15, 24 -26; Coates v. City of [422 U.S. 563, 576] Cincinnati, 402 U.S. 611, 615; Street v. New York, 394 U.S. 576, 592; cf. U.S. Dept. of Agriculture v. Moreno, 413 U.S. 528, 534.

In conclusion, the flaws which occurred in this matter have not only violated the mental health laws established by the state of Mississippi, but have created a material breach of substantive due process rights guaranteed to all citizens and protected under federal law. As such, you are hereby requested to review and revise your current policies within the following 7 days in order to bring them into compliance with not only state law but federal law as well in order to circumvent a similar matter from occurring in the future and to provide in writing any offered changes to such policies. In addition, as stated above, please take appropriate action to produce and preserve any and all information associated with the incident below to include any information describing the incident below that is maintained by Communicare and/or any of its employees to include any individual subcontracted out. This preservation should include all audio recordings taken during intake. any documentation/notes taken, and any communication regarding the matter sent and/or received leading up to and including the final determination being made to involuntarily commit Matthew Reardon to a state hospital facility on December 9, 2021.

My Best Regards,



Matthew Reardon

matt@mattreardon.com

662-550-9752

Video Documenting all that occurred on December 6, 2021 along with supporting evidence may be viewed online at:

RIDINGWITHTHEOUTLAW.COM/A-FRAUDULENT-COMMITMENT

PRESS ID

Carte de Presse / Pase de Prensa / Presseausweis

Credentialed Reporter / Photographer

Matthew Reardon

**Is a Reporter / Photographer and a
member in good standing with the
Constitution First Amendment
Press Association (CFAPA.org)**



Issue Date:

July 01, 2021

Do not hinder, exclude, or block the view of this journalist in the exercise of the long-established and court-recognized 1st Amendment right to be present at and to photograph or film any event in any public place. See: *Perry Education Association v. Perry Local Educators' Association*. (1983)

1st Amendment: "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances." (Enacted by Congress on December 15, 1791.)

Any officer or public official who deprives another of their 1st Amendment rights is personally and departmentally subject to suit for civil damages for deprivation of rights. Per 42 U.S.C. § 1983, "every person" who under color of law deprives another person of his civil rights is liable for civil damages.) See: *Pierson v. Ray*, 386 U.S. 547 (1967) – a U.S. Supreme Court case. Also see: *Monell v. Department of Social Services*, wherein the U.S. Supreme Court held that municipalities and local governments can be sued if the action was attributable to an official policy.

Law enforcement officers, public officials, and public employees do not enjoy absolute immunity.

**Copyright 2014, Constitution First Amendment Press Association. (CFAPA.org) - All Rights Reserved.
CFAPA is not liable or responsible for the actions of its independent members.**

IN THE CHANCERY COURT OF Lafayette COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

IN RE: Matthew Beardon

18th STATE OF MISSISSIPPI
LAFAYETTE JUDICIAL DISTRICT

CAUSE NO. 2021-691 (L)

2021 DEC -7 P 4:10

UNIFORM COMMITMENT AFFIDAVIT UNDER MCA SECTION 41-21-65

COMES NOW Rachel Alcorn, relative and/or interested person, residing at

Communicare

BY 13 telephone number 662-234-7521, duly sworn

and deposed, says the following to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief:

Matthew Beardon is a person I allege to be in need of treatment by outpatient or inpatient commitment. To my knowledge this person DOES / DOES NOT (circle one) reside or have visitation rights with a minor child or children. Their nearest relative, if known, is _____ who resides at _____ telephone number _____. I allege the person to be in need of treatment because the person is mentally ill under law and poses a likelihood of physical harm to themselves or others as demonstrated by (mark as many as may apply) ☒ a recent attempt or threat to physically harm themselves or others and/or ☒ a failure and inability to provide necessary food, clothing, shelter, safety, or (medical care) to themselves as a result of the impairment and/or _____ based on treatment history or other relevant evidence, this person is in need of treatment to prevent further disability or deterioration which will predictably result in dangerousness to themselves or others when their current mental illness limits or negates their ability to make an informed decision to seek or comply with recommended treatment. To my knowledge the recent behavior described herein is not caused by any of the following: epilepsy; intellectual disability; brief periods of intoxication, dependence upon or addiction to alcohol or drugs; or senile dementia.

Factual descriptions of recent behavior, witnesses, and where and when it occurred, if known:

go into public places recording people paranoid and delusional
provoking people with his minor child, not taking meds
and not getting medical help (attach additional pages if needed)

I HAVE / HAVE NOT (circle one) consulted with a Community Mental Health Center or a physician to determine whether the alleged acts by the proposed respondent warrant civil commitment in lieu of other less restrictive treatment options.

SWORN TO AND SIGNED BY MY HAND this the 7th day of December 2021 A.D.

Rachel Alcorn
AFFIANT (relative and/or interested person)

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME this the 7th day of December 2021 A.D.

Jina Johnson
NOTARY PUBLIC



CV2021-691(L)

FILED CERTIFICATE OF EXAMNING PHYSICIAN/PSYCHOLOGIST

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

We, Dr. Milton Hobbs and Sharon Upton, ACP do hereby

2021 certify that on the 3rd day of December, 2021, we conducted a

thorough mental and physical examination of Matthew Reardon of
Lafayette County, Mississippi, and that it is our opinion that said person (is) (is not)

suffering from mental illness, more particularly described as Bipolar & delusional
manifested by:

☒ grossly disturbed behavior/faulty perceptions, and poses a substantial likelihood of
physical harm:

☒ by recent threats or attempts to harm self or others.

☒ by failure to provide necessary care for self.

- and -

☒ requires treatment to prevent further disability or deterioration.

Said person (is) (is not) in need of observation, diagnosis, and treatment through:

☒ Inpatient Treatment/Hospitalization ☐ Court Ordered Outpatient Services at Communicare

Facts supporting these findings include: Mr. Matthew Reardon is a 34 year

old male with a history of mental illness requiring inpatient treatment.
He was at the North MS State Hospital 7/2021 & he quit taking
his psychiatric medications at the time of discharge. He repeats
himself frequently. He is very preoccupied with ongoing legal
CASES. Inpatient treatment is recommended at this time.

So certified this 8 of December, 2021.

M. Hobbs, MD

Physician/Psychologist Signature

Sharon Upton, ACP

Physician/Psychologist Signature